

## Survey Results: Future Land Use Maps

### Property on south part of Brodie Lane

|   |    |     |
|---|----|-----|
| OPTION 1: This area should be retained as Single Family Residential                   | 10 | 53% |
| OPTION 2: 6203 AND 6205 Brodie should be shown as Neighborhood Office                 | 2  | 10% |
| OPTION 3: 6203 and 6205 Brodie and 737 Oakdale should be shown as Neighborhood Office | 7  | 37% |

#### Comments:

- We feel strongly this area should be residential only. Offices in these locations would substantially affect the character of Oakdale Dr. in negative ways.
- There is no compelling reason why the zoning should be changed to neighborhood office. The argument that the property can't be sold as AFR is bogus. The property can be sold as SFR but not for the price the owners want. It is not the job of the council to give property owners higher prices for their property.
- This usage (NO) is consistent with the characteristics of the immediate surrounding area
- If the owners of 737 Oakdale want to change the zoning to Neighborhood Commercial, we would not be opposed to that change.
- No more traffic that we already have, thanks!
- Larger blocks lend itself to more options for environmentally pleasing development. Highest and best use is already commercial.

### Property along Country White Lane that is in the City of Sunset Valley ETJ

|  |    |     |
|--|----|-----|
| The City should encourage future annexation of this area     | 12 | 67% |
| The City should NOT encourage future annexation of this area | 6  | 33% |

#### Comments:

- We can control growth by annexation if remains in ETJ little or no control
- Cost is too high for annexation
- We should recognize that this will eventually become an issue and it could be more like Lowe's. Being able to zone the different tracts according to their location is important.
- Only if the owners are satisfied with any zoning changes. SSV should not dictate terms to property owners
- The only exception are the 2 corner lots on Brodie (responded NOT to encourage)

### The Future Land Use along Country White Lane, regardless of whether the area is annexed or not

|  |   |     |
|--|---|-----|
| Retain the Future Land Use as Single Family Residential  | 8 | 47% |
| Retain the Future Land use as Single Family Residential, with the exception of the large lot at the western end of Country White Lane which will be shown as Park, Greenbelt or Conservation Easement.   | 6 | 35% |
| Retain the Future Land Use as Single Family Residential on the south side of Country White Lane. The large lot at the western end of Country White Land and the lots on the north side to be shown as Park, Greenbelt, or Conservation Easement. | 3 | 18% |

**Other general comments on the Future Land Use map:**

- We think that these lots should be commercial since they border on Lowes. If this is not feasible then do whatever you think is proper EXCEPT that the two lots facing Brodie should be commercial since no one would build a home on that busy street. We have a lot of greenbelt and we like it but this land is more suitable for commercial.
- Additional greenbelt does not benefit the City.
- The tracts fronting Brodie need to be treated like 6203, 6205 and 744 Oakdale
- Still would like to see City purchase land @ entrance to Sterns to create an entrance to neighborhood
- Without examining the properties personally we are unsure what option to select. If the lots on the north side are currently residential, don't force any unwanted zoning changes on the owners or future owners.
- Thank you!
- Need more information about cost of infrastructure if Country White residents were annexed. Annexation on west side of Brodie should be based on income generating only